



Company	Portfolio exposure <small>% of BAU projects inconsistent with 1.6°C pathway</small>	Climate target <small>Rank based on Carbon Tracker framework</small>	Oil price assumptions <small>Maximum price 2020-2050, \$ per barrel</small>	
Eni	40%-50%	2	\$60/barrel	<p>Most European companies are starting to take a more holistic view of the energy transition. They have made a string of recent transition plan announcements, cutting assumptions about the future oil price and setting increasingly ambitious climate targets, and this is reflected in more conservative project portfolios.</p> <p>US companies lag behind on all three measures.</p>
BP	50%-60%	1	\$60/barrel	
Repsol	40%-50%	3	\$68/barrel	
Shell	60%-70%	4	\$60/barrel	
Total	50%-60%	5	\$73/barrel	
Chevron	60%-70%	7	Undisclosed	
Equinor	80%-90%	6	\$82/barrel	
ConocoPhilips	70%-80%	8	Undisclosed	
ExxonMobil	80%-90%	9	Undisclosed	

However, most of the oil majors have continued to approve investments in projects inconsistent with the Paris Agreement goal of keeping global warming "well below" 2°C and pursuing efforts to limit it to 1.5°C.



15 projects approved in 2019 worth \$60 bn that suggest companies are betting on prices that are inconsistent with global warming targets and **risk becoming stranded assets** in a low-carbon world.

Selected projects shown right

2020-2030 capex

ExxonMobil	\$10 bn	in Golden Pass liquefied natural gas project in the US
Chevron	\$6.3 bn	in ultra-deep water Anchor oil project in the US
Shell	\$3.9 bn	in ultra-deep water Mero Sepetiba project in Brazil
BP	\$3.3 bn	in deep water Azeri Central East project in Azerbaijan
ExxonMobil		
Equinor		